The **Killeen ISD Special Education Corrective Action Plan** is developed based on findings listed below in the July 7, 2015 TEA Preliminary On-Site Report of Findings from the March 3-4, 2015 visit to the district. Findings are listed by topic and area.

Topic 1: SPP Indicator 11 Noncompliance

Data Integrity:

- 1. District has been out of compliance with SPP Indicator 11 for seven consecutive years
- 2. Information certified as correct and submitted without additional review
- 3. No tracking system for knowing why initial evaluation not conducted within timelines

Staffing:

- 4. Lack of adequate staffing cited by district as one reason for failure to meet timelines
- 5. Assisting at other campuses when diagnostician out for extended period cited as barrier to completing evaluations within timelines

Lack of Accountability:

- 6. No process for holding staff accountable for missing timelines
- 7. No system for tracking dates of completed evaluations and dates of initial ARD meetings

Lack of Effective Processes and Procedures:

- 8. Initial referrals sent to SpEd secretary for calculating timelines and tasking out evaluations
- 9. Mistakes in calculating and communicating time frames for initial evaluations
- 10. No verification of dates by central office or evaluation personnel
- 11. Differences in how ARD meetings are scheduled and uncertainty of some staff regarding timelines

Appropriateness of SpEd Referrals:

- 12. 40% DNQ rate in 2013-2014
- 13. Evaluation time lost with inappropriate referrals
- 14. Parents seldom turned down for initial evaluation
- 15. Rtl in place but interventions not clearly documented

Topic 2: Documentation for Complaints and Due Process Hearings

- 16. Documentation not provided to TEA within timelines despite repeated Agency requests
- 17. Out of compliance with one-year timeline for correcting non-compliance

Goal	Strategies/ Actions to Achieve Goal	Staff Responsible for Implementation	Evidence of Implementation	Evidence of Impact/ Evaluation	Timeline
I. SPP Indicator 11: By the end of 2015- 16, 100% of initial referrals to SpEd will be conducted within required timelines	 Establish written process and Operating Guidelines (OG) for initial referrals Disseminate process to all evaluation, campus, and other appropriate staff and provide training on the process 	1. SpEd Director, Evaluation Staff, Internal Consultant, External Contracted Consultant 2. SpEd Director, Lead Evaluation Staff, Appropriate Campus SpEd Personnel	Written procedures are disseminated to all evaluation staff and all campuses Agendas/sign-in sheets of training indicating that evaluation, campus, and other appropriate staff have received training on the initial referral process	All initial referrals to SpEd are tasked and completed within the required timeline for each of the referrals Parents receive draft IEP goals prior to meetings Complaints regarding failure to evaluate within timelines are reduced or eliminated	 Written process and OG developed by August 1, 2015 Training conducted during August pre- service; campus training conducted by end of August 2015
	3. Conduct random verifications to ensure that process is being implemented and referrals are being conducted within timelines	3. SpEd Director, Assistant Supt for C&I, SpEd Coordinators, Internal Consultant, External Contracted Consultant	Databases kept by SpEd secretary and records clerk indicate that referrals are received and tasked, documentation is filed as specified		3. Random verifications conducted periodically beginning Sept 1, 2015, but at least weekly initially and then at least every 2 weeks through December 2015

Goal		Strategies/ Actions to	St	aff Responsible	Evidence of	Evidence of Impact/		Timeline
		Achieve Goal		for	Implementation	Evaluation		
			Ir	nplementation				
II. SPP Indicator 11:	1.	Standardize the roles	1.	Director	Written	Percentage of DNQ	1.	August 2015
By the end of 2015-		and responsibilities, RtI		Elementary	procedures and	referrals decreases		
16, the initial referral		procedures, and training		Curriculum,	training materials	across the district		
DNQ rate will		materials for campus RtI		Director	for RtI			
decrease by 5-10%		Coordinators		Secondary	Coordinators are	RtI documentation		
over 2014-2015 rates				Curriculum	developed and	includes qualitative		
					disseminated to	and quantitative		
	2.	Provide training for RtI	2.	Director	campuses	evidence of supports	2.	August-September
		Campus Coordinators		Elementary		provided to students		2015
		and SpEd Diagnosticians		Curriculum,	Training agendas,	prior to considering		
		on a) roles &		Director	materials, and	referral to SpEd		
		responsibilities, b)		Secondary	sign-in sheets			
		standard RtI meeting		Curriculum,	showing	Rtl Campus		
		agenda, c) quality &		SpEd Director	attendance by all	Coordinators can		
		frequency of parental			RtI Campus	describe their role		
		contacts, d) quality of RtI			Coordinators and	and the process used		
		documentation at Tier 1			SpEd Diags	in the district to		
		and Tier 2, and e)				implement RtI		
		communication with			RtI meeting			
		parents re: interface of			agendas are used			
		RtI and SpEd referrals			in all RtI meetings	Parent complaints		
		(i.e. why referral to SpEd				regarding initial		
		may not be appropriate)			RtI	referrals to SpEd		
					documentation	decrease		
	3.	Develop and use	3.	SpEd Director,	review checklist is		3.	August 2015
		checklist to review RtI		Diagnosticians	developed and			
		documentation when		& Other SpEd	disseminated to			
		referral to SpEd is being		Assessment	all Diags	Adjustments are		
		considered		Personnel,		made to RtI process		
				Elem/Sec RtI	Completed RtI	and interventions as		
				Designee to	documentation	appropriate based on		

Goal	Strategies/ Actions to Achieve Goal	Staff Responsible for Implementation	Evidence of Implementation	Evidence of Impact/ Evaluation	Timeline
	 4. Document refusal to evaluate for SpEd services when RtI process is not followed or there is clear and precise data showing that referral for SpEd evaluation is not appropriate 5. Track initial referrals by specific indicators (such as parent or other referral source, quality and length of RtI supports, campus, evaluator, and other appropriate indicators) 	develop checklist; Diags to use for review 4. SpEd Director, Diags, SLPs, Other Appropriate SpEd Assessment Personnel 5. SpEd Director, SpEd Coordinators	review checklists Refusals to evaluate for SpEd services are based on clear and precise data Tracking system and reporting processes are developed and implemented; OGs are developed and disseminated to staff Data from tracking database is analyzed and results used to adjust Rtl process, inform campuses & Diags of specific issues	data analysis	 4. Ongoing throughout 2015-16 5. Ongoing throughout 2015-16

Goal	Strategies/ Actions to Achieve Goal	Staff Responsible for Implementation	Evidence of Implementation	Evidence of Impact/ Evaluation	Timeline
III. Systems & Processes—SpEd Operating Guidelines: By the end of 2015- 16, SpEd Operating Guidelines will be updated, disseminated and accessible to all staff, and housed in a central (web-based) location	 Update and revise existing SpEd Operating Guidelines (OG) and add OG for areas not currently addressed Ensure that all OGs are available in a specified web-based location that is easily- accessible by all appropriate staff, with simple web navigation access 	 SpEd Director, SpEd Coordinators SpEd Director, SpEd Coordinators 	OGs are written in standard format, including "as of" date and other standard requirements OGs are posted on internal web, with simple navigation access and updated as needed	Procedures are consistently followed across the district Staff can articulate and/or locate specific procedures as needed	 Ongoing throughout 2015-16 Ongoing throughout 2015-16
	3. Reference the location and incorporate content and purpose of specific OGs in all applicable meetings, trainings, etc. involving ARD Administrators, assessment personnel, Principals and other appropriate district staff	3. SpEd Director, Assistant Supt for C&I, SpEd Coordinators, Diags, Other Appropriate SpEd Personnel	Training, meeting agendas include references to OGs as applicable		3. Ongoing throughout 2015-16

Goal		Strategies/ Actions to	St	aff Responsible	Evidence of	Evidence of Impact/		Timeline
		Achieve Goal		for	Implementation	Evaluation		
			lr	mplementation				
IV. Systems &	1.	Develop Operating	1.	Internal	OGs on	All ARDs are	1.	July-August 2015
Processes—ARD		Guidelines: Conducting		Consultant,	conducting ARD	conducted according		
Practices:		ARD Meetings		External	meetings are	to best practices		
By the end of 2015-				Contracted	written and	outlined in OGs		
16, all ARD meetings				Consultant	distributed			
are conducted using						All ARD documents		
required best	2.	Disseminate OG to all	2.	SpEd Director	Meeting and	are completed	2.	August 2015
practices		campuses & SpEd		and SpEd	training agendas	correctly and ARDs		
		assessment personnel,		Coordinators	showing	are locked at the end		
		and provide training on			presentation of	of the meeting		
		implementation of			OGs on ARD			
		required best practices			meetings and	Parent complaints		
					sign-in sheets	are reduced		
	3.	Monitor implementation	3.	Internal	documenting		3.	Ongoing
		of required best		Consultant,	attendance of all			throughout 2015-
		practices through ARD		External	required staff			16
		observations, feedback		Contracted				
		in meetings, and other		Consultant,	ARD observations			
		mechanisms		SpEd Director	are conducted in			
				& Coordinators	fall 2015-spring			
					2016 using			
					checklist of best			
					practices outlined			
					in OGs			

Goal	Strategies/ Actions to Achieve Goal	Staff Responsible for	Evidence of Implementation	Evidence of Impact/ Evaluation	Timeline
V. Systems & Processes— Developing IEPs That Reflect Individual Needs: By the end of 2015- 16, all IEPs reflect alignment between PLAAFP, goals and objectives, and services provided	1. Provide ongoing training on SBIEP to all staff involved in developing IEPs and include such issues as: a) Ensuring that goals and STO are measurable b) Services are appropriate to needs c) Appropriate use and assignment of accommodations d) Behavioral needs appropriately addressed 2. Conduct regular & random folder reviews using standard template to assess alignment between PLAAFP, goals and objectives, and services provided, and provide feedback to appropriate staff 3. Provide ongoing training for all appropriate staff on Easy IEP to ensure that every IEP document is completed correctly	1. Internal district staff; external consultants as appropriate 2. SpEd Coordinators, SpEd Director, evaluation and campus personnel 3. Assigned SpEd staff	Training schedules, agendas, materials, sign-in sheets for SBIEP and Easy IEP Completed folder review templates Folder review summaries and evidence of feedback to appropriate staff	IEPs are completed accurately, with appropriate grammar, spelling, and alignment between PLAAFP, goals & STO, and services provided Staff report increased comfort level in using Easy IEP Services delivered to students reflect needs as described in IEP	 Starting August 2015 and ongoing throughout 2015- 16 September 2015 and ongoing throughout 2015- 16 Starting August 2015 and ongoing throughout 2015- 16

Goal	Strategies/ Actions to	Staff Responsible	Evidence of	Evidence of Impact/	Timeline
	Achieve Goal	for Implementation	Implementation	Evaluation	
VI. Systems & Processes— Utilization of Staff: By the end of 2015-	Define staff responsibilities and expectations through clear and specific job	SpEd Director, SpEd Coordinators	SpEd Coordinators have clearly defined job, campus, and	Services are assigned without duplication or gaps/missing services	By start of school August 2015
16, staff are utilized efficiently & effectively to ensure student and campus needs are met	descriptions, supervisory lines, and organizational structures		program responsibilities Documentation that roles &	Campuses contact appropriate staff for specific SpEd program issues	
niceus are met	2. Develop procedures for utilizing assessment teams as a mechanism for efficient communication and completion of assigned evaluations within timelines	2. SpEd Director, SpEd Coordinators	responsibilities are disseminated within the Department and across district Written procedures and expectations for assessment teams; disseminated to all assessment	All assessments are completed within timelines Diags report clear understanding of roles, responsibilities, assignments, etc.	2. By start of school August 2015

Goal	Str	rategies/ Actions to	St	aff Responsible	Evidence of	Evidence of Impact/		Timeline
		Achieve Goal	l	for	Implementation	Evaluation		
\(\text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\ti}\\ \tittt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin\tin\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\text{\tin}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\tinz}\tex{\texi}\text{\texi}\tinz}\tint{\text{\ti}\text{\texit{\tex{	4 0			nplementation	144 ***			0 : 1 2015
VII. Systems &		ssign responsibilities/	1.	SpEd Director	Written	Errors in data are	1.	October 2015
Processes—Data		esignate staff regarding			procedures	identified and		
Verification and		ata collection,			assigning	corrected prior to		
Accountability:	1	nthesis, and reporting			responsibilities for	submission		
By the end of 2015-	1	x: SPP indicators, RF			data collection	_		
16, all data/		acker, other data			and reporting and	Rate of errors		
documentation		ollection & submission			development of	needing correction		
reported to TEA will	ca	tegories)			individual	decreases		
be 100% accurate					improvement			
and submitted on		evelop and implement	2.	SpEd Director	plans	All data submitted on	2.	October 2015
time		ata verification systems				time		
		ith regular & frequent			Schedule for data			
	m	onitoring to ensure			verification with	Fewer instances of		
	ac	curacy of data prior to			documentation of	staff needing		
	su	ıbmission			findings	individual		
						improvement plans	3.	Ongoing
	3. Do	ocument instances of	3.	SpEd Director	Individual plans	as the year		throughout 2015-
	sta	aff non-compliance			for staff needing	progresses		16
	wi	ith timelines or			additional			
	re	porting of inaccurate			supports			
	da	ata and develop						
	ine	dividual improvement						
	pla	an to address needed						
	tra	aining or other						
	su	ipports to ensure						
		mpliance/accuracy						
		, , ,						

Goal	Strategies/ Actions to Achieve Goal	Staff Responsible for Implementation	Evidence of Implementation	Evidence of Impact/ Evaluation	Timeline
VIII. Systems & Processes— Response Regarding Complaints and Due Process:	Assign staff member as "case manager" for each complaint or due process	1. SpEd Director	List of assigned SpEd Coordinator case managers for existing due process/	All documentation requested by TEA regarding specific complaints or due process is submitted	1. By start of school August 2015 for existing complaints & due process; ongoing
By the end of 2015- 16, all TEA requests for data related to	Develop written procedures and expectations for	2. SpEd Director, SpEd Coordinators	complaints Written	on time Assigned case	throughout 2015- 16
due process/ complaints will be fulfilled within the specified timeline	handling the assigned complaint or due process, including communicating with TEA, collecting needed documentation, responding within required timeline, and maintaining internal tracking system		procedures and expectations for handling the assigned complaint or due process are developed and disseminated to staff Database is	managers can provide update on specific complaint or due process when requested District administrators can access accurate and up-to-date information	2. October 2015
	3. Develop database/ internal tracking system to continuously update the status of each complaint or due process	3. SpEd Director, SpEd Coordinators, Dept. Technology Specialist	developed and maintained in central location in SpEd office Regular/periodic	regarding complaints and due process at any time	3. October 2015
	4. Monitor database for accuracy and status of each complaint or due process	4. SpEd Director	reports on status of complaints and due process as requested by Supt, School Board, others		4. Ongoing throughout 2015-16

Components of the Corrective Action Plan are defined as follows:

- Goal = long-term desired result to address findings from the report of findings
- Strategies/Actions to Achieve Goal = specific activities or action steps to be taken to meet the goal
- Staff Responsible for Implementation = position(s) of district personnel charged with implementing or overseeing implementation of the action steps
- Evidence of Implementation = documentation, data, and other tangible evidence that strategies are being implemented
- Evidence of Impact = evaluative evidence to show that strategies are having the desired positive impact
- Evaluation Plan & Timeline = schedule for assessing overall impact of the strategies toward meeting the goal